

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,733	07/22/2003	William E. Fristad	00155-00388-US	6069	
45847 759	90 05/02/2006	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
-	BOVE LODGE & HUT	Z LLP	GREEN, ANTHONY J		
PO BOX 2207 WILMINGTON	, DE 19899-2207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1755		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
		10/623,733	FRISTAD ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anthony J. Green	1755	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INSIDE IN THE INSIDE INS	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	11 June 2005.		
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-25 is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 26-40</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co).
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bu			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attach	Ma)			
Attachmer 1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) — Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/16/04&1/06/05</u> .	3/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C Detect and				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-17 and 26-40 in the reply filed on 21 June 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dolan (US Patent No. 5,427,632).

Dolan teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, a chromium free conversion coating composition comprising a component of anions such as fluorotitanate or fluozirconate, a component of cations of elements, sufficient free acid so that the pH is in the range of from 0.5 to 5.0, a component of phosphorus containing inorganic oxyanions and phosphonate anions, and a component of polymers.

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the component of cations of elements meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

4. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dolan et al (US Patent No. 5,356,490).

Dolan teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, an aqueous mixture of a fluoroacid and an oxide, hydroxide or carbonate of silica, aluminum or zirconium. The component may be mixed with polymers or chromium. According to column 4, lines 62+, the pH is in the range of from 0 to 4.

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the oxide, hydroxide or carbonate of silica, aluminum or zirconium meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles

Application/Control Number: 10/623,733

Art Unit: 1755

maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

5. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dolan et al (US Patent No. 5,281,282).

Dolan teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, an aqueous mixture of a fluoroacid and an oxide, hydroxide or carbonate of silica, aluminum or zirconium. The component may be mixed with polymers or chromium. According to column 4, lines 16-22, the pH is in the range of from 0 to 4.

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the oxide, hydroxide or carbonate of silica, aluminum or zirconium meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

6. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dolan (US Patent No. 5,449,415).

Dolan teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, a chromium free conversion coating composition comprising a component of anions such as fluorotitanate or fluozirconate, a component of cations of elements, sufficient free acid so that the pH is in the range of from 0.5 to 5.0, a component of phosphorus containing inorganic oxyanions and phosphonate anions, a component of polymers..

Application/Control Number: 10/623,733 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the component of cations of elements meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

7. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reghi et al (US Patent No. 5,897,716).

Reghi et al teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, a chromate free conversion coating composition comprising a component of various fluoroacids, a component selected from the group consisting of water soluble organic carboxylic acids, a component selected from various oxide, hydroxides etc., a component of polymers, a pH adjusting component to keep the pH in the range of from 1.2-4.5 (see column 4, lines 40+), a component selected from inorganic acids that do not contains fluorine, and a foam reducing amount of an antifoam agent.

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the component selected from various oxide, hydroxides etc meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

8. Claims 1, 5, 10-17, 26 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlson et al (US Patent No. 6,464,800).

The reference teaches, in the abstract, the examples and the claims, a visible colored conversion coating composition comprising a product of chemical interaction between a first component selected from fluoroacids and a second component selected from titanium, zirconium, hafnium, boron, aluminum, silicon, germanium, and tin and the oxides of these. The pH is in the range of from 0 to 4 (column 6, lines 9-18). According to column 7, lines 42+, it is preferred that the total mass of the conversion coating dried into place on the treated surface should be at least, with increasing preference in the order given, 10, 20, etc. millligrams/square meter

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the second component meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. Further since the fluoroacid interacts with the second component it is believed that claim 5 is met. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise. With respect to claims 36-40 these are believed to be meet by the reference as the dye of the reference would not materially affect the basic and/or novel characteristics of the invention, absent evidence to the contrary.

9. Claims 1, 10-17, 26 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dolan (US Patent No. 6,764,553).

Dolan teaches, in the abstract, examples and the claims, an aqueous composition comprising various fluorometallates which may further comprise an oxide, hydroxide or carbonate. The pH of the composition ranges from 1 to 8 (see column 4, lines 23+)

The instant claims are met by the reference. It is the position of the examiner that the oxide, hydroxide or carbonate meets applicants acid-stable particles absent evidence to the contrary. As for the property of the particles maintaining a particular change in viscosity, this is believed to be inherent properties possessed by the particles of the reference absent evidence showing otherwise.

Claim Objections

10. Claims 6, 9, and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 6 the phrase "aluminum-modified particles" is inconsistent with claim 2 which recites "aluminum-modified silica particles".

In claim 9 the phrase "nonaluminum-modified particles" is inconsistent with claim 3 which recites "nonaluminum-modified silica particles".

In claim 30 the phrase "organic particles" is inconsistent with claim 27 which recites "organic polymeric particles".

. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

Page 8

11. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The subject matter of claims 20, 27 and 37 lacks proper antecedent basis in the specification as the specification recites "aluminum-modified silica particles" and "nonaluminum-modified silica particles".

Double Patenting

12. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

13. Claims 1-17 and 26-40 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12, 15, 18, 41-43.45.46, 48, 49, 51 and 56-59 of copending Application No. 10/339,405. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

because the reduction to practice of the claims of the copending application would render obvious the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The instant claims are of a narrower scope than those of the copending application and are therefore seen to be encompassed by them.

Information Disclosure Statement

14. The remaining references have been considered however they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J/Green
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

aid

April 26, 2006